

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 446 Alexandrip. Virginia 22313-1450 www.jaspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/772,451	01/29/2001	David Yin-Shur Ma	CLAR-0200	2593
7590 10/13/2005			EXAMINER	
David R. Stevens			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q	
Stevens & Westberg LLP Suite 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
99 North First Street			2145	
San Jose, CA 95113			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	N /		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/772,451	MA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Azizul Choudhury	2145	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE	THIS APPLICATIÒN IN CON	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods:	ollowing replies: (1) an amen Notice of Appeal (with appea mpliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	dment, affidavit, or other evide al fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		

1 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) Will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5 and 7-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 6. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🔀 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. 🔲 Other: ____

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim amendments are not considerable and the rejections provided within the final office action are sufficient to address the amended claims. Examiner also accepts the cancellation of claims 2 and 6. Within the remarks portion of the amendment, the applicant's representative expresses how claimed elements are not "anticipated" or "inherent." The examiner would like to remind the applicant's representative that a 103 rejection was provided and not a 102 rejection, hence claim elements do not have to be anticipated nor do they have to be inherent. Rather, under a 103 rejection, claim elements have to exist within the prior art or be well known in the art. The applicant's representative then expresses concern over the claimed trait of "filter" means. The examiner provided the applicant two prior arts, Szymansky and Shani. In regards to this issue, Szymansky teaches how a PDA wirelessly communicates with a computer server within a network (column 2, lines 15-23, Szymansky). In addition, Szymansky teaches how wireless devices are managed and the wireless client is authorized to communicate (column 4, lines 10-18, Szymansky). This authorization process represents a means by which to "filter," since not all data can transmitted within the network. Only authorized devices may transmit data at a given time. As for not transmitting important data wirelessly, this is well known in the art (column 1, lines 36-40, Shani).